

The $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ trimer within the hard-core Faddeev approach^{*†}

E.A. Kolganova[‡], Y.K. Ho

*Institute of Atomic and Molecular Sciences, Academia Sinica
P.O.Box 23-166, Taipei, Taiwan 106, ROC*

A.K. Motovilov

*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research
Joliot-Curie 6, 141980 Dubna, Russia*

W. Sandhas

*Physikalisches Institut der Universität Bonn
Endenicher Allee 11-13, D-53115 Bonn, Germany
(Dated: April 11, 2003)*

We apply a hard-core version of the Faddeev differential equations to the $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ three-atomic system. Employing the TTY interatomic potential by Tang, Toennies and Yiu we calculate the binding energy of the $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ trimer and the scattering length of a ^3He atom off a ^4He dimer.

PACS numbers: 02.60.Nm, 21.45.+v, 34.40.-m, 36.40.+d

I. INTRODUCTION

Small Helium clusters attract a lot of attention in various fields of physical chemistry and molecular physics. There is a great number of experimental and theoretical studies of the ^4He three-atomic system (see, e. g., [1]– [13] and references cited therein). The non-symmetric system $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ found comparatively little attention. To the best of our knowledge the $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ trimers were studied numerically only in Refs. [5], [7], [12], [14]. Except Ref. [14], there are still no scattering calculations reported for this system.

The present work is a sequel to the investigation of the helium three-atomic systems undertaken in Refs. [8], [10], [15] based on a mathematically rigorous hard-core version of the Faddeev differential equations. This method allows one to overcome in particular the strong-repulsion

^{*} arXiv: physics/0304048

[†] The work was supported by the Academia Sinica, the National Science Council (ROC), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research

[‡] On leave of absence from Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Joliot-Curie 6, 141980 Dubna, Russia

problem arising in examination of atomic systems. Along the same line we now investigate the $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ bound states as well as the scattering of a ^3He atom off a ^4He dimer at ultra-low energies.

For the moment we restrict ourselves to the use of the TTY interatomic He–He potential by Tang, Toennies and Yiu [17]. Computations with other realistic He–He interactions are in progress and will be reported elsewhere.

II. METHOD

In this section we only describe the main features of the method employed. Further technical details can be found in [8], [10], [15].

It is assumed that the $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ three-atomic system is in the state with the total angular momentum $L = 0$. Then the partial angular analysis reduces the initial hard-core Faddeev equations (see, e.g., [8]) to a system of coupled two-dimensional integro-differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + l(l+1) \left(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{y^2} \right) - E \right) f_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & x < c \\ -V_\alpha(x) \psi_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y), & x > c \end{cases}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here, x, y stand for the reduced Jacobi variables, and c for the core radius which for simplicity is taken the same for all three interatomic interactions. The He–He interaction potentials $V_\alpha(x)$ are assumed to be central. The partial wave functions $\psi_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y)$ are related to the partial wave Faddeev components $f_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y)$ by

$$\psi_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y) = f_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y) + \sum_{l'} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \int_0^1 d\eta h_{(\alpha; ll'0)(\beta; l'l'0)}^0(x, y, \eta) f_{l'}^{(\beta)}(x_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}(\eta), y_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}(\eta)), \quad (2)$$

where $1 \leq \eta \leq 1$. The explicit form of the function $h_{(\alpha; ll'0)(\beta; l'l'0)}^0$ can be found in Refs. [15], [16]. The functions $f_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y)$ satisfy the hard-core boundary conditions

$$f_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y)|_{x=0} = f_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y)|_{y=0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y)|_{x=c} = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3. \quad (3)$$

Further, the system (1)–(3) is supplemented with the corresponding asymptotic boundary conditions for $f_l^{(\alpha)}(x, y)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and/or $y \rightarrow \infty$ (see [8], [15], [16]).

Here we only deal with a finite number of equations (1)–(3), assuming that $l \leq l_{\max}$ where $l_{\max}$ is a certain fixed number.

III. RESULTS

First, we employed the equations (1) – (3) and the corresponding bound-state asymptotic boundary conditions to calculate the binding energy of the helium trimer $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$. Recall that in this work as a He–He interaction we used the TTY potential by Tang, Toennies and Yiu [17]. As

in [8], [10], [15] we used a finite-difference approximation of the boundary-value problem (1)–(3) in the polar coordinates $\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and $\theta = \arctan(y/x)$. The grids were chosen such that the points of intersection of the arcs $\rho = \rho_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_\rho$ and the rays $\theta = \theta_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_\theta$ with the core boundary $x = c$ constitute the knots. The value of the core radius was chosen to be $c = 1 \text{ \AA}$ by the same argument as in [10]. Also the idea for choosing the grid radii ρ_i (and, thus, the grid hyperangles θ_j) was the same as described in [10].

TABLE I: Absolute value of the $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ trimer binding energies (in mK) for the TTY potential.

$l_{\max}$	This work	Ref. [12]	Ref. [14]
0	7.25		
2	13.09		
4	13.78	14.165	14.1

We assumed that $\hbar^2/m = 12.12 \text{ K\AA}^2$ where m stands for the mass of a ^4He atom. The mass ratio $m_{^3\text{He}}/m_{^4\text{He}}$ was assumed to be equal to 0.753517. Notice that for the TTY potential the ^4He -dimer energy is 1.30962 mK [10].

TABLE II: Estimations for the ^3He atom – ^4He dimer scattering length (in $\text{\AA}$) with the TTY potential.

$l_{\max}$	This work	Ref. [14]
0	38.8	
2	22.4	
4	21.2	19.6

The best dimensions of the grids which we employed in this investigation were $N_\rho = 600$ and $N_\theta = 605$ with cut-off hyperradius $\rho_{\max} = 200 \text{ \AA}$. Our results for the $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ binding energy obtained for a grid with such N_ρ , N_θ , and $\rho_{\max}$, as well as the results available in the literature, are presented in Table I. It is found that most of the contribution to the binding energy stems from the $l = 0$ and $1 \leq l \leq 2$ partial components, about 53% and 42%, respectively. The overall contribution from the $l = 3$ and $l = 4$ partial wave components is of the order of 5%. A certain (but rather small) deepening of the $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ binding energy may also be expected due to choosing the grids with larger N_θ and N_ρ .

Being a more light particle than ^4He , the ^3He atom does not form a bound molecule with the ^4He counterpart and no ^3He dimer exists. As a consequence, $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ is a more loosely bound system than the ^4He trimer (see, e.g. [8] and [10]). In particular, there is no excited state of the $^3\text{He}^4\text{He}_2$ system in contrast to the symmetric $^4\text{He}_3$ trimer that is well known to have an excited state of Efimov nature.

Our results for the ^3He – $^4\text{He}_2$ scattering length calculated also for a grid with $N_\rho = 600$, $N_\theta = 605$, and $\rho_{\max} = 200 \text{ \AA}$ are shown in Table II.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Prof. V.B. Belyaev and Prof. H. Toki for making us possible to perform calculations at the supercomputer of the Research Center for Nuclear Physics of Osaka University, Japan.

-
- [1] S. Grebenev, J.P. Toennies, and A.F. Vilesov: *Science* **279** (1998) 2083.
 - [2] F. Luo, C. F. Giese, and W. R. Gentry: *J. Chem. Phys.* **104** (1996) 1151.
 - [3] R.N. Barnett and K.B. Whaley: *Phys. Rev. A* **47** (1993) 4082.
 - [4] J. Carbonell, C. Gignoux, and S.P. Merkuriev: *Few-Body Systems* **15** (1993) 15.
 - [5] B.D. Esry, C.D. Lin, and C.H. Greene: *Phys. Rev. A* **54** (1996) 394.
 - [6] M. Lewerenz: *J. Chem. Phys.* **106** (1997) 4596.
 - [7] E. Nielsen, D.V. Fedorov, and A.S. Jensen: *J. Phys. B* **31** (1998) 4085 (arXiv: physics/9806020).
 - [8] E.A. Kolganova, A.K. Motovilov, and S.A. Sofianos: *J. Phys. B* **31** (1998) 1279 (arXiv: physics/9612012).
 - [9] V. Roudnev and S. Yakovlev: *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **328** (2000) 97 (arXiv: physics/9910030).
 - [10] A.K. Motovilov, W. Sandhas, S.A. Sofianos, and E.A. Kolganova: *Eur. Phys. J. D* **13** (2001) 33 (arXiv: physics/9910016).
 - [11] P. Barletta and A. Kievsky: *Phys. Rev. A* **64** (2001) 042514.
 - [12] D. Bressani, M. Zavaglia, M. Mella, and G. Moros: *J. Chem. Phys.* **112** (2001) 717.
 - [13] V. Roudnev: *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **367** (2003) 95.
 - [14] V. Roudnev: private communication.
 - [15] E.A. Kolganova, Y.K. Ho and A.K. Motovilov: *Czech J. Phys.* **52** (2002), Suppl. C, C649 (arXiv: physics/0303029).
 - [16] L.D. Faddeev and S.P. Merkuriev: *Quantum scattering theory for several particle systems*, Doderecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
 - [17] K.T. Tang, J.P. Toennies, and C.L. Yiu: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** (1995) 1546.